June 10, 2011

Honorable Sean Parnell Governor State of Alaska P.O. Box 110001 Juneau, Alaska 99811-0001

Re: CCS HB 109 -- making appropriations

for the operating and capital expenses of the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program (FY12 Mental

Health Budget)

Our file: JU2011200283

Dear Governor Parnell:

At the request of your legislative director, we have reviewed CCS HB 109, the mental health budget for fiscal year 2012, introduced at your request. The final bill raises some relatively minor legal issues.

I. Required Reports

With the transmittal of original HB 109 to the House, the report required by AS 37.14.003(b), explaining the reasons for any differences between your proposed mental health appropriations and the appropriation requests proposed by the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority (trust authority), was submitted. A similar report is required by AS 37.14.005(c), which provides that if the appropriations in the bill passed by the legislature differ from the appropriations proposed by the trust authority, "the bill must be accompanied by a report explaining the reasons for the differences between the appropriations in the bill and the authority's recommendations for expenditures from the general fund...." The appropriations in CCS HB 109 differ from the appropriations proposed by the trust authority, and the legislature has submitted a letter and reports describing the differences. We have reviewed the legislature's letter and reports and believe that they satisfy the statutory requirement.

Honorable Sean Parnell, Governor

Our file: JU2011200283

June 10, 2011

Page 2

If you decide to veto all or part of an appropriation in this bill, AS 37.14.003(c) requires that you must explain the veto "in light of the authority's recommendations for expenditures from the general fund for the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program." There is some question as to whether this statutory provision actually requires a more vigorous explanation of a veto than does art. II, sec. 15, of the Alaska Constitution, which requires that any vetoed bill be returned to the house of origin with a statement of objections. If you determine that a veto of an item in this bill is desirable, the Department of Law would be available to advise you further with regard to the wording of a veto message.

II. Analysis

CCS HB 109 contains a number of expressions of legislative intent, found in sec. 1, p. 2, lines 19 through 31, p. 3, lines 3 and 21 through 27, and p. 7, lines 12 through 16. In the past, we have advised that expressions of intent may generally be ignored or followed as a matter of comity. We continue to offer this advice, however, we note that under limited circumstances, expressions of intent in an appropriations bill might be legally enforceable. We refer you to a complete discussion of this issue in our review of the fiscal year 2012 operating budget, CCS HB 108. Accordingly, if your office or a recipient agency is not inclined to follow any intent language as a matter of comity, and we have not specifically addressed such language herein, we recommend further consultation with this office so that we can advise as to the extent such language might be enforceable. As we have previously advised in our reviews of appropriations bills, under *Alaska Legislative Council v. Knowles*, 21 P.3d 367 (Alaska 2001), a statement of intent accompanying an appropriation is not an "item," and may not be vetoed separately from the appropriation to which it applies.

Other than as noted above, sec. 1 of CCS HB 109 (the mental health budget bill) sets out the appropriations, funding sources, and other items for the fiscal year 2012 mental health operating budget, and is unremarkable. Section 2 of the bill sets out the funding by agency for the appropriations made in sec. 1 of the bill. Section 3 of the bill sets out the statewide funding for the appropriations made in sec. 1 of the bill. Section 4 of the bill sets out appropriations for mental health capital projects and grants. Section 5 of the bill sets out the funding by agency for the appropriations made in sec. 4 of the bill. Section 6 of the bill sets out the statewide funding for the appropriations made in sec. 4 of the bill. Section 7 of the bill sets out the purpose of the bill, which is to make appropriations for the state's integrated comprehensive mental health program. Section 8 of the bill would provide for appropriation of mental health trust authority authorized receipts or administration receipts that are above the amounts appropriated in the bill, and for a reduction in an appropriation affected by a shortfall in receipts.

Honorable Sean Parnell, Governor

Our file: JU2011200283

June 10, 2011

Page 3

Section 9(a) of the bill would provide that the appropriations made in sec. 1 of the bill include amounts for certain adjustments in salaries and benefits for public officials. officers, and employees of the executive branch, employees of the court system, employees of the legislature, and legislators, which also may include funding for salary increases effective July 1, 2011 for certain employees not covered by collective bargaining agreements pursuant to HB 421 passed in 2010 by the legislature, codified as AS 39.27.011(e). Section 9(a) would also provide that the appropriations made in sec. 1 include amounts to implement the following collective bargaining agreements entered into by the state that are in effect for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012: APEA agreement for the confidential unit; APEA agreement for the supervisory unit; ASEA agreement for the general government unit; Alaska Vocational Technical Center Teachers Association-NEA agreement for the Alaska Vocational Technical Center unit; Public Employees Local 71 agreement for the labor, trades and crafts unit; Alaska Correctional Officers Association, for correctional officers; Teachers' Education Association of Mt. Edgecumbe; International Organization of Masters, Mates, and Pilots agreement for the masters, mates, and pilots unit; Inlandboatmen's Union of the Pacific agreement for the unlicensed marine unit; Marine Engineers Beneficial Association for the MEBA unit representing certain AMHS employees; and PSEA agreement for regularly commissioned public safety officers. We note that the operating budget, CCS HB 108, provides for appropriations for salaries and benefits for employees of each branch of government and legislators and addresses salaries and benefits for the same state bargaining units listed in this bill. The bill review for CCS HB 108 contains a full discussion regarding these appropriations and reference is made to that bill review.

Section 9(b) of the bill would provide that the appropriations made to the University of Alaska in this bill include amounts for salary and benefit adjustments for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2012 for employees who are not members of bargaining units and to implement the monetary terms of employees covered by collective bargaining agreements (including the health plan) for university employees in the following unions: Alaska Higher Education Crafts and Trades Employees; University of Alaska Federation of Teachers; United Academics; United Academics-Adjuncts; and Fairbanks Firefighters Association, IAFF Local 1324.

Section 9(c) of the bill would provide that the appropriations for employees covered by collective bargaining agreements described in subsec. (a) would have a corresponding reduction if the collective bargaining agreements are not ratified by the membership of these collective bargaining units. This provision covers the possibility that some of the collective bargaining agreements had not been ratified by the unions' memberships at the time that the bill was passed and thus it is possible that the agreements could be rejected by the union memberships. If rejected, the employees' pay and salaries would not be adjusted as provided for in the agreements. This section is an appropriate condition on the appropriation if an agreement has not been ratified.

Honorable Sean Parnell, Governor

Our file: JU2011200283

June 10, 2011

Page 4

Section 9(d) of the bill would provide that the appropriations for employees covered by collective bargaining agreements described in subsec. (b) would have a corresponding reduction if the collective bargaining agreements are not ratified by the membership of these collective bargaining units and approved by the Board of Regents of the University of Alaska. This provision would cover the possibility that some of the collective bargaining agreements had not been ratified by the unions' memberships or approved by the Board of Regents at the time that the bill was passed and thus it is possible that the agreements could be rejected by the union memberships or the board. If rejected, the employees' pay and salaries would not be adjusted as provided for in the agreements. This section is an appropriate condition on the appropriation if an agreement has not been ratified.

Section 9(e) of the bill would provide that the appropriations in sec. 1 of the bill for employee salaries and benefits described in subsecs. (a) and (b) are only for the state's comprehensive mental health program and do not necessarily affect every group of non-union employees or collective bargaining represented employees referred to in subsecs. (a) and (b). This limitation is expressed because a number of state employees are not involved in the state's mental health program and thus appropriations for their salaries would not come from this bill.

Section 10 of the bill would provide for an effective date of July 1, 2011.

III. Conclusion

Other than the issues identified above, we find no significant constitutional or other legal issues for your consideration.

Sincerely,

John J. Burns Attorney General

JJB:CJM:pav